



## INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification <sup>7</sup> : <b>C04B 18/22, 28/02, E21B 33/13 // (C04B 28/02, 14:48, 18:22)</b>		A1	(11) International Publication Number: <b>WO 00/20350</b> (43) International Publication Date: <b>13 April 2000 (13.04.00)</b>
(21) International Application Number: <b>PCT/EP99/07789</b>			THIERCELIN, Marc [FR/FR]; 34, rue de Marnes, F-92410 Ville d'Avray (FR).
(22) International Filing Date: <b>4 October 1999 (04.10.99)</b>			(74) Agent: MENES, Catherine; Etudes & Productions Schlumberger, Division Dowell, 26, rue de la Cavée, Boîte postale 202, F-92142 Clamart Cedex (FR).
(30) Priority Data: <b>98/12538 6 October 1998 (06.10.98) FR</b>			(81) Designated States: AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CU, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZW, ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).
(71) Applicant ( <i>for all designated States except CA FR US</i> ): SOFITECH N.V. [BE/BE]; Rue de Stalle 142, B-1180 Brussels (BE).			
(71) Applicant ( <i>for CA only</i> ): SCHLUMBERGER CANADA LIMITED [CA/CA]; 24th floor, Monenco Place, 801 6th Avenue, S.W., Calgary, Alberta T2P 3W2 (CA).			
(71) Applicant ( <i>for FR only</i> ): COMPAGNIE DES SERVICES DOWELL SCHLUMBERGER [FR/FR]; 50, avenue Jean-Jaurès, F-92541 Montrouge (FR).			
(72) Inventors; and			Published
(75) Inventors/Applicants ( <i>for US only</i> ): LB ROY-DELAGE, Sylvaine [FR/FR]; 6, rue Dulac, F-75015 Paris (FR). DARGAUD, Bernard [FR/FR]; 35, résidence les Nouveaux Horizons, F-78990 Elancourt (FR). BARET, Jean-François [FR/FR]; 7, rue Paul de Kock, F-75019 Paris (FR).			With international search report. Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.
(54) Title: CEMENTING COMPOSITIONS AND THE USE OF SUCH COMPOSITIONS FOR CEMENTING OIL WELLS OR THE LIKE			
(57) Abstract			
Cementing compositions for oil wells or the like comprise between 30 % and 100 % (by weight of cement) of rubber particles, with grain size in the 40–60 mesh range. Adding rubber particles in accordance with the invention produces a low density slurry while keeping the cement permeability low. Compositions of the invention are particularly advantageous for cementing zones subjected to extreme dynamic stresses such as perforation zones and the junctions of branches in a multi-sidetrack well.			

**FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY**

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Larvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece	ML	Mali	TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	MN	Mongolia	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MR	Mauritania	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MW	Malawi	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MX	Mexico	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	NB	Niger	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NL	Netherlands	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NO	Norway	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NZ	New Zealand	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CM	Cameroon	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CN	China	KZ	Kazakstan	RO	Romania		
CU	Cuba	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
CZ	Czech Republic	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DE	Germany	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
DK	Denmark	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		
EE	Estonia						

**CEMENTING COMPOSITIONS AND THE USE OF SUCH COMPOSITIONS  
FOR CEMENTING OIL WELLS OR THE LIKE**

The present invention relates to techniques for drilling oil, gas, water,  
5 geothermal, or analogous wells. More precisely, the invention relates to cementing  
compositions which are suitable for cementing zones which are subjected to  
extreme dynamic stresses.

In general, a well which is more than a few hundreds of meters deep is  
cased, and the annular space between the underground formation and the casing is  
10 cemented over all or a portion of its depth. The essential function of cementing is  
to prevent fluid exchange between the different formation layers through which the  
hole passes and to control the ingress of fluid into the well, in particular to limit the  
ingress of water. In production zones, the casing, the cement and the formation  
are all perforated over a depth of a few centimeters.

15 The cement positioned in the annular space in an oil well is subjected to a  
number of stresses throughout the lifetime of the well. The pressure inside the  
casing can increase or decrease as the fluid filling it changes or as additional  
pressure is applied to the well, such as when the drilling fluid is replaced by a  
completion fluid or by a fluid used in a stimulation operation. A change of  
20 temperature also creates stress in the cement, at least during the transition period  
before the temperatures of the steel and the cement come into equilibrium. In the  
majority of the above cases, the stressing process is sufficiently slow to enable it to  
be treated as a static process.

25 However, the cement is subjected to other stresses which are dynamic in  
nature either because they occur over a very short period or because they are either  
periodical or repetitive to a greater or lesser extent. Perforating does not just  
cause an over-pressure of a few hundred bars inside the well which dissipates in the  
form of a shock wave. In addition, perforating creates a shock when the projectile  
penetrates the cement and that shock subjects the zone surrounding the hole to  
30 large forces extending over a length of a few meters.

Another process which creates dynamic stresses in the cement and which is  
now very common in oilwell operations is when a window is cut in a cemented  
casing to create a sidetrack. Milling the steel over a depth of a few meters  
followed by drilling a sidetrack subjects the cement to shock and to vibration which  
35 often damage it irreversibly.

The present invention aims to provide novel formulations, in particular for  
cementing regions in oil or analogous wells which are subjected to extreme

dynamic stresses such as perforation zones and junctions for branches of a sidetrack.

In an article presented at the SPE (Society of Petroleum Engineers) annual conference and exhibition 1997 (SPE 38598, 5-8 October 1997) and in French patent application FR 97 11821, 23<sup>rd</sup> September 1997) Marc Thiercelin et al. have shown that the risk of rupture of a cement sheath depends on the thermoelastic properties of the casing, on the cement, and on the formation which surrounds the well. A detailed analysis of the mechanisms leading to rupture of the cement sheath has shown that the risk of rupture of a cement sheath following an increase in pressure and/or temperature in the well is directly linked to the tensile strength of the cement and is attenuated when the ratio of the tensile strength  $R_T$  of the cement over its Young's modulus  $E$  is increased.

Young's modulus is known to characterize the flexibility of a material. Thus to increase the ratio  $R_T/E$ , materials should be selected that have a low Young's modulus, in other words materials that are highly flexible.

One known way of increasing the flexibility of a hardened cement is to reduce the density of a cement slurry by watering it down. However, that leads to a degradation in the stability of the slurry and in particular to separation of the liquid and solid phases. Such phenomena can, of course, be controlled in part by adding materials such as a sodium silicate, but the permeability of the hardened cement is still very high which means it cannot satisfy its principal function of isolating zones to prevent fluid migration, or at least of guaranteeing the permanence of such isolation. Further, lighter cements have lower mechanical strength, in particular lower shock resistance which clearly constitutes a handicap for cements intended for zones which are subjected to extreme mechanical stresses, such as perforation zones.

The aim of the present invention is to provide lighter oilwell cements reinforced with recycled rubber. The ground rubber particles reduce the density of the slurry and thus, secondarily, affect the flexibility of the system; primarily, the rubber particles do not improve the mechanical properties of the cements.

In the building industry, including rubber particles in concrete is known to improve toughness, durability, and resilience [see, for example, N. N. Eldin and A. B. Sinouci, Rubber-Tire Particles as Concrete Aggregate, Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering, 5, 4, 478-497 (1993)]. Concretes including rubber particles in their formulations have applications, for example, in motorway construction to absorb shocks, in anti-noise walls as sound absorbing material, and also in constructing buildings to absorb seismic waves during earthquakes. For those

applications, the aim of the rubber particles is thus essentially to improve the mechanical properties of the concrete.

The addition of ground rubber particles (with grain size in the 4-20 mesh range) is known in the oilwell cement industry [Well cementing 1990, E. B Nelson, 5 Schlumberger Educational Services] to improve impact strength and bending strength. Such an improvement in mechanical properties is also indicated in Russian patents SU-1384724 and SU-1323699. More recently, United States patent US-A-5 779 787 has proposed the use of particles derived from recycling automobile tires, with grain sizes in the 10/20 mesh or 20/30 mesh range, to 10 improve the mechanical properties of hardened cements, in particular their resilience, ductility, and expansion properties. It should be noted that the densities of the slurries disclosed in that American patent were in the range 1.72 g/cm<sup>3</sup> to 2.28 g/cm<sup>3</sup>.

In contrast to the prior art disclosures, the present invention aims to 15 provide a slurry with a density not exceeding 1.70 g/cm<sup>3</sup> and which can, for example, be as low as 1.44 g/cm<sup>3</sup>. In other words, the invention consists in preparing a low density slurry by replacing a portion of the mixing water with rubber particles of a density (1.2 g/cm<sup>3</sup>) which is close to that of water. Thus a cement is produced with low permeability and with improved impact strength. 20 Secondarily, the rubber particles also impart flexibility to the system by reducing its Young's modulus. This results in a reduction in permeability, a reduction in the compressibility of the system, and an increase in shock resistance even though primarily, the rubber particles do not improve the mechanical properties of the cements.

25 The cement slurries of the invention are essentially constituted by cement, water, and between 30% and 100% (by weight of cement) of rubber particles obtained, for example, by grinding recycled automobile tires, with grain sizes in the 40-60 mesh range. The rubber particles used originate from recycled tires from the automobile industry. They are obtained by grinding or low temperature 30 disintegration of tires. Particles with a diameter in the range 250 µm to 400 µm are particularly preferred. Such grain sizes produce pumpable slurries with suitable rheology.

The formulations of the invention are preferably based on class A, B, C, G, and H Portland cements as defined in section 10 of the American Petroleum Institute (API) standards. Class G Portland cements are particularly preferred, but other cements which are known in the art can also be put to profitable use. For 35 low temperature applications, aluminous cements are particularly suitable, also

Portland/plaster mixtures for low temperature wells (deep water wells, for example), or cement/silica mixtures (for wells where the temperature exceeds 120°C, for example).

5 The water used to constitute the slurries is preferably low mineral water such as tap water. Other water, such as sea water, can optionally be used, but it is not generally preferred.

10 The compositions of the invention can also comprise additives which are routinely used in the majority of cementing compositions, for example dispersing agents, antifoam agents, suspension agents, cement retarders or accelerating agents, and fluid loss control agents.

15 In a variation, the cementing compositions are also reinforced by adding cast amorphous metal fibers. Cast amorphous metal fibers are known, for example, from US-A-4 520 859 and are obtained by casting a thin ribbon of molten metal onto a cold drum. Rapid cooling prevents crystallization, and the metal solidifies in the form of an amorphous material. The length of the fibers used - or, more exactly, the strips - is typically of the order of ten millimeters, preferably in the range 5 to 15 mm.

20 The cast amorphous metal fibers are added to the cement slurry of the invention in an amount of 1% to 25% by weight of fibers relative to the weight of cement, i.e., typically with fiber concentrations of the order of 50 kg/m<sup>3</sup> to 200 kg/m<sup>3</sup>.

25 Adding cast amorphous metal fibers can advantageously compensate for the reduction in compressive strength which results from adding rubber particles, while increasing the modulus of rupture in bending and the ratio of that modulus over Young's modulus.

The present invention is illustrated in the following examples.

#### EXAMPLE 1

30 Non optimized formulations were used to show the basic principle of the invention. With the exception of a dispersing agent, they did not include cementing additives. In this example, the recycled rubber originated from American Tire Recyclers, Inc., Jacksonville, United States of America. Its trade name is "Ground Rubber 40 mesh". Its density was 1.2 g/cm<sup>3</sup>, and its granulometry was 40 mesh.

35 The cement slurries were composed of class G Portland Dyckerhoff North cement, recycled rubber particles, water, and a dispersing agent. The formulations are given in Table 1; they were all studied at the same temperature (170°F, i.e., 76.7°C). The dispersing agent was a polynaphthalene sulfonate, in liquid form.

**Table 1 : Cement slurry formulations**

Formulation	Density (ppg -g/cm <sup>3</sup> )	Water (vol %)	Rubber (vol %)	Cement (vol %)	Other additives (=dispersing agents) vol %
A	12.0-1.44	50.0	32.5	17.5	0
B	13.1-1.56	55.0	20.2	24.8	0
C	13.6-1.63	49.9	22.5	27.5	0.1
D	14.1-1.69	44.7	24.7	30.3	0.3
E	15.2-1.82	49.6	12.5	37.5	0.4
F	16.4-1.97	53.5	0	44.8	1.7

• *ppg is an abbreviation for "pounds per gallon".*

5      The rheology of the cement slurry and the free water were measured using the procedure recommended in API 10. The rheology was measured immediately after mixing at laboratory room temperature, and the rheology was measured after 20 minutes of conditioning at temperature. The results are shown in Table 2.. PV means plastic viscosity and TY means yield point.

Table 2: Rheology and free water

Formulation	Rheology after mixing		Rheology after conditioning at 76.7° C		Free water after 2 hours (ml)
	PV (mPa.s)	TY (Pa)	PV (mPa.s)	TY (Pa)	
A	58.8	1.9	87.1	9.3	0
B	25.2	2.4	97	32.4	0
C	48.5	5.3	98.3	11.6	0
D	120.2	7.7	179.9	12.6	0
E	57.8	1.9	87	25.3	0
F	50.8	1.7	24.0	1.9	1

The bending tests were carried out on 3 cm × 3 cm × 12 cm prisms obtained from cement slurries kept at 76.7°C and 20.68 MPa (3000 psi) for 3 days.

5 The compression tests were carried out on cubes with 5 cm sides (2 inches) obtained after 3 days at 76.7°C and 20.68 MPa.

The results are shown in Table 3 for the bending strength (rupture modulus Mr and Young's modulus in bending Ef) and compressive strengths (compressive strength Cs and Young's modulus in compression Ec). Bending strength was easier to measure than tensile strength. It was empirically estimated that the bending strength was twice as high as the tensile strength.

TABLE 3: MECHANICAL PROPERTIES

Formulation	Mr (MPa)	Ef (MPa)	Mr/Ef ( $\times 1000$ )	CS (MPa)	Ec (MPa)	CS/Ec ( $\times 1000$ )
A	1.29	516.70	2.52	2.88	496.65	5.80
B	1.98	963.58	2.07	5.73	1049.10	5.48
C	2.90	1320.12	2.21	7.93	1431.87	5.63
D	3.31	1678.04	2.02	12.46	2416.31	5.17
E	5.45	3223.38	1.71	20.21	3608.18	5.61
F	9.05	6042.4	1.52	27.51	4800.88	5.82

The above results show that an increase in rubber particle concentrations in the cement slurry simultaneously results in:

- 5     • a reduction in its modulus of rupture;  
       • a reduction in its compressive strength;  
       • a reduction in its Young's modulus in bending and also in compression;  
       • an increase in the ratio of its modulus of rupture in bending over its Young's modulus in bending.

10    In order to compare these different systems, a flexibility criterion was defined (denoted MT below): a cement is considered to be better if the ratio of its modulus of rupture in bending over its Young's modulus in bending is higher. This flexibility criterion can, for example, be observed in Figure 1 where the tensile strength of the cement is shown as a function of the Young's modulus in bending of the cement. This figure was obtained using the following assumptions: the casing had an outside diameter of 8½" (21.6 cm) and an inside diameter of 7" (17.8 cm), the steel grade was 35 lb/ft (52 kg/m), and the pressure increase in the well was assumed to be 5000 psi (34.5 MPa).

15    This figure shows the minimum required condition for three rock types (hard rock, medium rock, and poorly consolidated rock). Each curve obtained defines the minimum condition required for good cement strength for the geometry and the pressure increases selected for this example. For a given rock, a cement was deemed satisfactory if its characteristics (tensile strength and Young's modulus in bending) placed it above the curve.

Thus formulations A to E satisfy the flexibility criterion regardless of the rock.

However, these tendencies are directly linked to a reduction in density resulting from an increase in the concentration of rubber particles and thus in the 5 porosity of the system. Thus the porosity of the different cement samples obtained after 3 days setting at 76.7°C and 20.68 MPa (3000 psi) was measured for the different formulations.

The principle of the porosity measurement was as follows. 12.7 mm (½ inch) diameter cylinders 1 cm in length were cut from the hardened cement set 10 under temperature and pressure. They were dried for about two weeks in a lyophilizer and during this time their weight loss was studied as a function of time. When the samples were dry (corresponding to a constant weight over time), their real volume or skeleton volume  $V_s$  was measured using a helium pycnometer; the average volume  $V_b$  was obtained from the external dimensions of the cylinder. 15 The difference between the two volumes ( $V_b - V_s$ ) gave the void volume and thus the porosity  $\Phi$  of the material accessible to the helium.

The porosity  $\Phi$  of the slurry was the % by volume of the water and liquid additives in the formulation. For each formulation, a percentage volume for rubber was calculated and the effective porosity  $\Phi$  was defined as the sum of the porosity 20 of the material plus the percentage volume of the rubber. The results are shown in Table 4.

TABLE 4: RESULTS FOR POROSITY  $\Phi$ 

Formulation	$\Phi$ slurry (1) %	$\Phi$ material (2) %	Vol. of rubber (3) %	$\Phi$ effective (2)+(3) %
A	50	40.7	32.5	73.2
B	55	42.5	20.2	62.7
C	50	37.6	22.5	60.1
D	45	32.1	24.8	56.9
E	50	30.9	12.5	43.4
F	55	25.3	0	25.3

Figures 2 and 3 show how Young's modulus in bending and modulus of rupture in bending vary as a function of effective porosity; it can be seen that 5 Young's modulus decreases almost linearly as a function of effective porosity with a saturation threshold after 70% porosity (Figure 2). The same comment applies to modulus of rupture in bending (Figure 3).

In conclusion, it appears that ground rubber particles can reduce slurry density and thus, secondarily, affect the flexibility of the system. Primarily, rubber 10 particles do not improve the mechanical properties of the cements.

#### EXAMPLE 2

Examples of complete formulations are given.

The cement slurries were composed of class G Portland Dyckerhoff North cement, recycled rubber particles, water and different additives (anti-foaming agent 15 and retarder; the retarder was different depending on the temperature). Table 5 lists the formulations. Formulations 1 and 7 contained no rubber particles. Formulations 2 to 5 comprised 40 mesh rubber identical to that of the preceding example. Formulation 6 used a 45 mesh rubber available from Vredenstein Rubber Resources, Maastricht, Netherlands reference ECORR RNM 45, density 1.2 g/cm<sup>3</sup>.

20 The influence of parameters such as slurry density and the optimization temperature were studied. For temperatures of 120°C or more, silica flour was used for retrocession strength problems.

It is important to dry blend the rubber particles with the cement. Otherwise, poor incorporation or migration of the rubber to the surface after mixing is observed.

**TABLE 5: LIST OF FORMULATIONS STUDIED**

	Tm (°C)	Density (g/cm³)	Water (% vol.)	Rubber (%vol)	Cement (%vol)	Other additives (%vol.)
1	76.7	1.68	67.3	0	31.7	1.0
2	76.7	1.68	44.5	24.8	30.3	0.4
3	121.1	1.68	45.3	21.6	22.9	10.2
4	150	1.68	46.0	21.6	22.9	9.5
5	76.7	1.44	50.0	32.5	17.1	0.4
6	76.7	1.68	44.2	24.8	30.3	0.7
7	76.7	1.44	79.3	0	20.2	0.5

The detailed composition of the "other additives" is given in the table below.

	Silica flour % bwoc	Retarder (l/m <sup>3</sup> of cement)	Sedimentation agent % bwoc	Foaming agent (l/m <sup>3</sup> of cement)	Extender % bwoc
1	0	10.7	/	4.0	4
2	0	8.02	0.26	/	/
3	35	14.71	0.34	/	/
4	35	0.60*	0.34	/	/
5	0	/	/	/	1.7
6	0	13.37	/	/	/
7	0	/	/	4.0	1.7

- 5     • bwoc is an abbreviation for "by weight of cement".

\* 0.60 % bwoc, the retarder used at this temperature being solid.

For formulations 1 and 5, the extender was bentonite. For formulation 7, sodium silicate was used. It should be noted that all of the formulations were optimized so as to obtain a thickening time in the range 2 to 6 hours. The rheology 10 of the cement slurry and the free water were measured using the procedure recommended in API 10 (American Petroleum Institute). The results are shown in Table 6.

TABLE 6: RHEOLOGY AND FREE WATER

Formulation	Thickening time (min)	Rheology after mixing		Rheology after conditioning at Tm*		Free water after 2 hrs. ml
		PV (mPa.s)	TY(Pa)	PV (mPa.s)	TY(Pa)	
1	354	12.7	1.7	11.2	12.8	3
2	333	97.3	15.6	215.6	17.0	2
3	372	119.7	13.9	61.6	7.1	1
4	260	152.5	8.1	101.6	8.5	0
5	128	96.2	20.3	75.4	8.5	0
6	262	290.8	0.9	175.8	23.7	2
7	not measured	9.2	4.7	8.5	4.1	0

\* For formulations 3 and 4, for technical reasons the rheology was measured at 85°C and not at temperature Tm as indicated in Table 5.

### EXAMPLE 3

5        Ground rubber particles of 32 mesh grain size (500 µm) were tested.

In this example, two slurry formulations were used as already described in the preceding examples.: formulation D of Example 1, and formulation 2 of Example 2; they were both formulated to 14 ppg with a slurry porosity of 45% and comprised 31% bwoc of rubber particles. The only change was in the rubber 10 particles which differed in their manufacturer and in their grain size, the 32 mesh sized grains replacing the 40 mesh sized grains.

Formulation D with the new source of rubber was not API mixable, and a portion of the rubber remained on the surface. This phenomenon persisted even if, for example, the added concentration of dispersing agent (a polynaphthalene 15 sulfate) was multiplied by 2

Regarding formulation 2, it was observed that the slurry was a poor API mix and that after conditioning, the cement slurry was very thick and cast badly. To reduce its viscosity by leaving out the anti-sedimentation agent and keeping the retarder, it was necessary during blending to mix for 10 minutes at 12000 rpm

(revolutions per minute) before no more particles were observed on the surface, and the fluid obtained after mixing and conditioning at temperature was very thick and cast badly.

#### EXAMPLE 4

5       The bending and compression mechanical properties of a cement slurry containing recycled and ground rubber particles were measured. The exact formulations are given in Example 1. Further, by way of comparison with the preceding formulations, a NET was added (formulation 8) with a density of 1.89 g/cm<sup>3</sup> with 10 4.01 l/m<sup>3</sup> of anti-foaming agent as the only additive and containing no rubber particles.

The influence of the ground rubber particles on the mechanical properties of the set cement was studied on systems kept for several days under pressure and temperature in high pressure and high temperature chambers to simulate the conditions encountered in an oilwell.

15     Bending and compression tests were carried out under the same conditions as for Example 1, at the conditioning temperatures indicated in Table 5; the same abbreviations are used in Table 7 below. From the bending and compression tests, the quantity of energy released on rupture (obtained by integrating the load-displacement curve over a displacement in the range from 0 to the maximum displacement of the load (corresponding to rupture).

TABLE 7: MECHANICAL PROPERTIES

	Mr (MPa)	Ef (MPa)	Mr/Ef ( $\times 1000$ )	Rupture energy, bending (J)	CS (MPa)	Ec (MPa)	CS/Ec ( $\times 1000$ )	Rupture energy, compres- sion (J)
1	6.69	3758.81	1.81	0.0437	22.88	3341.82	6.88	12.97
2	3.44	2213.71	1.57	0.0223	9.97	1370.72	7.31	7.94
3	3.61	1849.87	1.98	0.0292	9.51	2062.34	4.58	5.37
4	4.68	2905.07	1.63	0.0318	13.81	2589.84	5.62	8.71
5	1.11	443.05	2.52	0.0122	2.51	647.67	3.88	1.79
6	4.24	2383.70	1.78	0.0305	13.78	2897.45	4.77	6.97
7	1.19	504.22	2.37	0.0101	3.21	519.64	6.24	1.88
8	8.47	5021.56	1.69	0.0706	36.61	6257.28	5.85	16.22

For formulations with a density of  $1.68 \text{ g/cm}^3$  (14 ppg), adding rubber particles reduced the modulus of rupture in bending but also reduced the Young's modulus. However, the ratios of the modulus of rupture in bending to Young's modulus remained high and satisfied the flexibility criterion defined above. It was possible to retard a system with rubber particles and for the whole range of temperatures studied, the cement obtained satisfied the flexibility criterion.

The compressive strengths were reduced on adding rubber particles but their values remained acceptable.

The porosity of the hardened material was measured for different formulations of cement slurry. The principle of measuring the porosity of the material was defined in Example 1. The porosity results are shown in Table 8. As expected, they show that adding rubber particles reduced the porosity  $\Phi$  of the final material (comparing formulation 1 with formulation 2, for example). As in Example 1, it was observed that Young's modulus in bending decreased almost linearly as a function of effective porosity with a saturation threshold after 70%

porosity (Figure 4) for all of the optimized formulations at 76.76°C. The same comment applies to modulus of rupture in bending (Figure 5).

The conclusions of Example 1 are confirmed for optimized formulations: ground rubber particles reduce the density of the slurry and thus secondarily affect the flexibility of the system; primarily, the rubber particles do not improve the mechanical properties of the cements.

TABLE 8: POROSITY RESULTS

Formulation	$\Phi_{slurry}$ (1) %	$\Phi_{material}$ (2) %	Vol of rubber (3) %	$\Phi_{effective}$ (2)+(3)
1	68	45.7	0	45.7
2	45	29.7	24.8	54.5
3	46	37.3	21.6	58.9
4	46	36.6	21.6	58.2
5	50	43.9	32.5	76.4
6	45	30.5	24.8	55.3
7	79	65.5	0	65.5
8	60	36.9	0	36.9

#### EXAMPLE 5

10 A base slurry with a density of 14 ppg was composed of Portland cement, rubber particles and water (formulation 2 in the preceding examples). To this base slurry, amorphous metal casting fibers or strips were added, available under the trade mark Fibraflex 5 mm from SEVA, Chalon-sur-Saône, France. Different concentrations of fibers were studied.

15 Bending tests were carried out at 170°C, using the same conditions as the preceding examples. The results are shown in Tables 9 and 10. Table 9 concerns bending strength (modulus of rupture Mr and Young's modulus in bending Ef). Table 10 concerns compressive strengths (compressive strength Cs and Young's modulus in compression Ec).

**TABLE 9: MECHANICAL PROPERTIES: BENDING TESTS**

Fiber concentration (g/l)	Mr (MPa)	Ef (MPa)	Mr/Ef ( $\times 1000$ )	Energy (J)
0	3.44	2213.71	1.57	0.0223
30	4.07	2328.83	1.75	0.0287
60	4.48	2772.52	1.65	0.0402
100	5.05	2551.87	2.01	0.0602

**TABLE 10: MECHANICAL PROPERTIES: COMPRESSION TESTS**

Fibraflex concentra-tion (g/l)	CS (MPa)	Ec (MPa)	CS/Ec ( $\times 1000$ )	Energy (J)
0	9.97	1370.72	7.31	7.94
30	14.00	2545.63	5.52	6.95
90	14.04	2521.05	5.58	7.35

It can be seen that adding fibers increases modulus of rupture in bending and the ratio of that modulus of rupture over Young's modulus. The same trend 5 was observed for the rupture energies obtained from the bending tests. Cements formulated with mixtures of rubber particles and fibers resulted in good compressive strengths.

Further, Figure 6 is a graph of displacement as a function of the load exerted during the bending test. No increase in load was observed between the 10 cement with no rubber particles and the cement with ground rubber particles. With rubber particles, however, the post rupture behavior was very different from a cement containing no rubber particles. Its tenacity was improved with ground rubber particles, and this post rupture behavior was still further improved with a mixture of rubber and fibers.

15 Tenacity is an important parameter in a multi-sidetrack well.

#### EXAMPLE 6

Cement samples were generated under pressure (3000 psi) and temperature (170°F) under the same conditions as those used for the bending and compression 20 tests and for the same period. The hardened material obtained was cored to the following dimensions: a diameter of 51.4 mm and a length of 25 mm.

The moist sample was placed in a Hassler type cell which applied a confinement pressure of 10 to 100 bars to the sample. A small constant flow of water (in the range 0.010 ml/min to 0.80 ml/min) was sent through the sample using a chromatographic pump. The differential pressure on the sample sides was 25 measured and recorded. The value recorded was that corresponding to equilibrium.

The permeability K in milli-Darcy (mDa) was calculated using Darcy's law:

$$K = 14700 \frac{Q\mu L}{AP}$$

where Q is the flow expressed in ml/s,  $\mu$  is the viscosity of the water in mPa.s., L is the length of the sample in cm, A is the surface area of the sample in  $\text{cm}^2$  and P is the pressure differential in psi.

The results for the different formulations are shown in Table 17 and demonstrate that adding rubber particles reduced the permeability of the cement.

10

TABLE 10: PERMEABILITY RESULTS

Formulation	Density (g/cm <sup>3</sup> )	Rubber % bwoc	Permeability to water milli-Darcy
1	1.67	0	0.0076
2	1.67	31	0.0015
7	1.44	0	0.1380
8	1.89	0	0.0010

**EXAMPLE 7**

Impact tests were carried out on cement samples. These tests consisted of allowing a 1 meter long projectile to fall onto set cement disks. The disks were circular, 70 mm in diameter 10 mm thick. The dynamic load was measured and recorded over time.

The cement with no rubber particles (formulations 1 and 7) behaved as a fragile material and the energy absorbed by the sample was estimated to be less than 10 Joules. The energy absorbed by cements formulated with rubber particles was much higher, as shown in Table 11.

10

**TABLE 11: IMPACT RESULTS WITH FLEXIBLE PARTICLES**

Formulation	Energy (J)
1	7.4
2	25.3
7	4.0

This good shock behavior is particularly important when cementing multi-sidetrack wells.

**EXAMPLE 8**

15 The linear expansion of cement slurries during setting at a temperature simulating well conditions was measured in an annular expansion mold. This mold consisted of two flat disks placed on either side of an extensible ring which had two pins at its extremities; the ensemble constituted a 100 mm diameter cylinder of low thickness (22 mm). The two disks were fixed together with screws. The 20 cement slurry to be studied was poured into the mold, and the mold was placed in a thermostatted water bath at 76.76°C. The slurry remained in contact with the water throughout the test.

25 During setting, if the cement expanded, the external diameter of the extensible ring also expanded and the distance between the two pins of the ring increased.

The linear expansion L of the cement slurry was obtained using the following relationship:

$$L = (D_2 - D_1) \times 10.95$$

where L is expressed as a percentage, D<sub>1</sub> is the micrometric measurement in inches before the onset of hardening, D<sub>2</sub> is the micrometric measurement in inches after hardening, and 10.95 is a constant which takes the mold geometry into account.

5 The expansion results are shown in Table 12 and show that a slurry containing rubber particles has advantageous expansion properties.

TABLE 12: EXPANSION RESULTS

Rubber % bwoc	% linear expansion after 1 day	% linear expansion after 2 days	% linear expansion after 7 days
0	0	0	0
31	0.25	0.28	0.28
71	0.15	0.25	0.29

#### EXAMPLE 9

10 A mixability and pumpability test was carried out using a slurry with formulation 2, with a density of 1.67 g/cm<sup>3</sup> containing 31% bwoc of rubber particles.

15 The rubber was dry blended with the cement and then added to the tank containing the mixing water. The mixture obtained was homogeneous, and circulated with a Triplex type pump routinely used in oil fields with no problems.

Mixing problems were observed in a further test with post addition of the rubber. Thus dry blending is the preferred method for incorporating rubber particles into cement slurry in the field.

**CLAIMS**

1. A cementing slurry composition for an oil well or the like, comprising cement, water, and between 30% and 100% (by weight of cement) of rubber particles, with grain sizes in 40-60 mesh range, and having a slurry density below 1.70 g/cm<sup>3</sup>.  
5
2. A cementing composition according to claim 1, characterized in that the diameter of said rubber particles is in the range 250 µm to 400 µm.
3. A cementing composition according to any preceding claim, characterized in that said rubber particles are obtained by recycling tires from the  
10 automobile industry.
4. A cementing composition according to any preceding claim, characterized in that it further comprises cast amorphous metal fibers in a proportion of 1% to 25% by weight with respect to the weight of cement.
5. A cementing composition according to any preceding claim, characterized in that it further comprises one or more additives of the following type: a suspension agent, a dispersing agent, an anti-foaming agent, a retarder, a cement setting accelerator, and a fluid loss control agent.  
15
6. The use of cementing compositions according to any one of claims 1 to 5 to cementing zones subjected to extreme dynamic stresses such as perforation zones and junctions of branches in a multi-sidetrack well.  
20

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Int'l. Appl. No.  
PCT/EP 99/07789

**A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER**  
 IPC 7 C04B18/22 C04B28/02 E21B33/13 // (C04B28/02, 14:48, 18:22)

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

**B. FIELDS SEARCHED**

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)  
 IPC 7 C04B E21B

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

**C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT**

Category	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 5 779 787 A (L. BROTHERS ET AL.) 14 July 1998 (1998-07-14) cited in the application column 2, line 22-29 column 3, line 17-24 column 3, line 40-42 ---	1,3,5,6
A	EP 0 566 012 A (SICOWA) 20 October 1993 (1993-10-20) claim 1 ---	1,6
A	US 3 042 608 A (G. MORRIS) 3 July 1962 (1962-07-03) claim 1 ---	1,5
A	EP 0 413 072 A (PIERI) 20 February 1991 (1991-02-20) page 2, line 50-54; claims 1,3 ---	1-3
		-/-

Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

Patent family members are listed in annex.

\* Special categories of cited documents:

- "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.

"&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

1 February 2000

Date of mailing of the international search report

08/02/2000

Name and mailing address of the ISA  
 European Patent Office, P.B. 5018 Patentlaan 2  
 NL - 2280 MV Rijswijk  
 Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,  
 Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Daeleman, P

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Int'l. Jpnal Application No  
PCT/EP 99/07789

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	BE 1 008 040 A (G. RIGOT) 3 January 1996 (1996-01-03) claims 1,3 ----	1,3,4.
A	FR 2 710 334 A (SEVA) 31 March 1995 (1995-03-31) claim 1 ----	4
A	US 5 688 844 A (J. CHATTERJI ET AL.) 18 November 1997 (1997-11-18) column 2, line 10-55 column 2, line 62 -column 3, line 2 ----	
A	FR 2 349 549 A (JOHN LAING AND SON) 25 November 1977 (1977-11-25) claims 1,5,8 -----	

**INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT**

Information on patent family members

Inte. onal Application No

PCT/EP 99/07789

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)		Publication date
US 5779787	A 14-07-1998	NONE		
EP 566012	A 20-10-1993	CA 2093485 A		15-10-1993
		HU 67639 A		28-04-1995
		JP 6183798 A		05-07-1994
		NO 931355 A		15-10-1993
		OA 9875 A		15-09-1994
US 3042608	A 03-07-1962	NONE		
EP 413072	A 20-02-1991	CA 2007027 A		18-02-1991
BE 1008040	A 03-01-1996	NONE		
FR 2710334	A 31-03-1995	NONE		
US 5688844	A 18-11-1997	CA 2209232 A		01-01-1998
		EP 0816302 A		07-01-1998
		NO 973048 A		02-01-1998
FR 2349549	A 25-11-1977	NONE		